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1. Key data

National R&D intensity target

“In the last decade, R&D intensity in the United Kingdom averaged around 1.8 %, the latest figure being 1.87 % in 2009\(^1\). The trend over the reference period showed an initial fall followed by a mild recovery since 2005. At present, R&D intensity in the United Kingdom falls below the EU average. Although the recent cutbacks in public expenditure have not severely hit research budgets, further measures to boost both public and private R&D may be needed to bridge the R&D gap with the EU average and, especially, with other trading competitors.”\(^2\)

Key indicators measuring the country’s research performance

The figure below presents key indicators measuring the United Kingdom’s research performance against a reference group and the EU-27 average\(^3\).

---

1. 2009 figures are provisional.
3. The values refer to 2011 or the latest year available.
**Stock of researchers**

The table below presents the stock of researchers by Head Count (HC) and Full Time Equivalent (FTE) and in relation to the active labour force.

**Table 1: Human resources – Stock of researchers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>United Kingdom</th>
<th>EU Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head Count per 1 000 active labour force (2008)</td>
<td>12.09</td>
<td>9.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head Count (2008)</td>
<td>376 137</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTE per 1 000 active labour force (2009)</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>6.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full time equivalent (FTE) (2009)</td>
<td>243 338</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Deloitte  
Data: Eurostat

**2. National strategies**

The government of the United Kingdom has adopted a package of measures aimed at training enough researchers to meet its R&D targets and at promoting attractive employment conditions in public research institutions. The table below presents key programmes and initiatives intended to implement the strategic objectives to train enough researchers to reach the UK’s R&D targets, to promote attractive working conditions, and to address gender and dual career aspects.

**Table 2: National strategies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Research Councils UK Strategic Vision (2010)</td>
<td>Research Councils UK (RCUK) is the strategic partnership of the UK’s seven Research Councils. RCUK promotes high level skills both for the sustainability of the UK research base and for the benefit of society and the economy. It also promotes engagement between research and young people by providing input to educational policy, encouraging young people to pursue research careers, and supporting the research base and economy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| The UK National Action Plan on researcher mobility and careers within the European Research Area, December 2009, Department for Business, Innovation and Skills (BIS) | The National Action Plan presents the current situation in the UK educational system and suggests future initiatives. The Plan provides information on research staff’s open recruitment, portability of grants, social security and pension schemes for mobile researchers, working conditions as well as training opportunities. It encourages research and higher education institutions to:  
  − use the EURAXESS portal regularly;  
  − review and implement the European Directive on “equal treatment in |

---


Deloitte.
### Measure Description

- employment and occupation\(^5\) in recruiting and employing researchers;
- increase the level of international experience and encourage UK researchers to undertake international mobility and collaboration;
- develop the coverage on pensions and social security issues available both to mobile researchers coming to the UK and mobile researchers from the UK moving abroad;
- develop recommendations on researcher career issues;
- strengthen the focus on developing researcher skills.

### The UK Science and Society Strategy, BIS (2008)

In 2008, the Department for Business, Innovation and Skills (BIS) launched a consultation on science and society in the UK to canvas views on how to develop the research skills base, improve science communication and build public confidence in science. The Strategy established five expert groups\(^6\) in key areas in an effort to encourage schoolchildren to take science to an advanced (doctoral) level.

### Reports to the attention of the UK Government

| Final Report of Sir Gareth Roberts' review SET for Success (2002) | The Roberts report examined the then situation of the professions of scientist and engineer in the UK in higher education and the labour market. The report set out a series of recommendations to the UK Government and companies on increasing the supply of scientists and engineers by increasing R&D and innovation performance in these fields to meet international standards. |
| International Comparative Performance of the UK Research Base (2011) | The report on the International Comparative Performance of the UK Research Base was compiled by Elsevier. It evaluates the effectiveness of the science and research budget of the Government to achieve high international standards. The report notes that the UK research base is highly mobile, internationally competitive and diverse. |
| One Step Beyond: Making the most of postgraduate education sector (2010) | This review of postgraduate education applauds the UK’s postgraduate system but still encourages improvements in the competitiveness and excellence of the postgraduate system to match the world’s best. |

**Source:** Deloitte

### 3. Women in the research profession

#### Measures supporting women researchers in top-level positions

In 2007, the percentage of women grade A academic staff was 17.5% in the UK compared with 13.1% among the Innovation Union reference group and an EU average of 18.7%\(^7\).

The Equality Act 2010 introduced positive action provisions, including voluntary positive action measures in recruitment and promotion. It is, therefore, up to higher education institutions to decide for themselves whether to exercise the option of taking measures to improve the representation of female researchers in top level positions and on decision making bodies.

#### Quotas to ensure a representative gender balance

In the UK, the Equality Challenge Unit (ECU) supports gender equality and diversity of staff and students in higher education institutions. The ECU works closely with colleges and Universities to build equality of opportunities and outcomes by providing them with expertise, research, advice and leadership.

The Higher Education Funding Council for England monitors the proportion of staff at different stages. For instance, the ratio of female, disabled and ethnic minority staff at senior levels is continuing to increase. Absolute numbers of all senior staff have dropped, but numbers of senior staff in the target groups have fallen by a proportionately smaller amount than in other groups. However, when comparing the overall senior

---


\(^6\) The expert groups are:
- Science For All – Aiming for a cultural shift in attitudes to science;
- Science and the Media – Working towards both a science-literate media and a media-literate scientific community;
- Science and Learning – Facilitating the learning of Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics;
- Science for Careers – Influencing career choice and improving career advice;
- Science and Trust – Increasing public trust in the conduct of science.

\(^7\) See Figure 1 “Key indicators – United Kingdom”
management results to those observed for “professor and above” and “senior lecturer and above”, only the proportion of ethnic minority staff has increased in all three groups. The proportions of female and disabled staff have both dropped slightly for the other two senior grade groups.

The UK’s Research Excellence Framework (REF) 2014 also reflects the need to consider gender balance in all policies and procedures in higher education institutions. The UK Resource Centre for Women in Science offers advice services and policy consultation on the under-representation of women in science, engineering, technology and the built environment (SET). In 2011, BIS asked the Royal Society and Royal Academy of Engineering to develop a new diversity in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) programme, including gender aspects.

Maternity leave
In the UK, employment law accredits women who have been employed for 26 continuous weeks with the same employer with statutory maternity pay for up to 39 weeks (90% of their average gross weekly earnings for the first six weeks and GBP 128.78 per week for the remaining 33 weeks). Additionally, women are eligible to receive contractual maternity pay up to six months.

Research Council-funded students are also entitled to maternity leave and pay entitlements. Beneficiaries of training grants also enjoy the right to receive six months’ maternity leave on full stipend and a further six months’ unpaid maternity leave.

Finally, Research Council fellowships cover maternity leave (as well as paternity leave, adoption leave, parental leave, extended jury service or paid sick leave) for a Research Fellow in line with the terms and conditions of the Fellow’s employment.

4. Open, transparent and merit-based recruitment

Recruitment system
Higher education institutions in the UK are fully autonomous in designing and implementing their recruitment policy. They are required to publish all relevant policies on their websites. The procedure is time-consuming and costly and as a result the advertising of posts is sometimes avoided. The UK higher education funding bodies have encouraged action to face this challenge. For instance, the Higher Education Funding Council for England (HEFCE) encourages the institutions to have formal human resources strategies and provides funding to support these strategies under the Rewarding and Developing Staff in HE initiative. HEFCE also encourages institutions to develop recruitment and retention schemes.

Open recruitment in institutions
The table below presents information on open recruitment in higher education and public research institutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do institutions in the country currently have policies to ...?</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>publish job vacancies on relevant national online platforms</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The Equality and Diversity policies’ stated aim is to attract a diverse range of applicants to reflect the community and bring a range of experience, talent and backgrounds to the institution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>publish job vacancies on relevant Europe-wide online platforms (e.g. EURAXESS)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>In the UK, publicly-funded research jobs are always published online, either on higher education institutions’ individual websites, or through other jobs portals (such as the New Scientist, NatureJobs, Science, jobs.ac.uk). For example, the jobs.ac.uk website is extensively used for academic job’ announcements, research posts included. It publishes approximately 45,000 vacancies per year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>publish job vacancies in English</td>
<td>Already in place</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>systematically establish selection panels</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The recruitment and selection policies aim to secure a wide range of cultural, ethnic and gender</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Do institutions in the country currently have policies to ...?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes/No</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>representation on panels to provide checks and balances against potential partiality of decisions. In the event of disagreement, an odd number of panel members could go to a vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

− establish clear rules for the composition of selection panels (e.g. number and role of members, inclusion of foreign experts, gender balance, etc.)

Yes

See above.

− publish the composition of a selection panel (obliging the recruiting institution)

Yes/No

The composition of a selection panel is not routinely published but the applicants would most likely be informed before interview.

− publish the selection criteria together with job advert

Yes

The criteria/person specification is prepared at the time of planning for recruitment to the vacancy. The selection criteria may be published with the advert or may be available on application. The published criteria enable the candidates to demonstrate their suitability for the position and those responsible for shortlisting are comparing candidates consistently against the stated criteria.

− regulate a minimum time period between vacancy publication and the deadline for applying

Yes (subject to the urgency of the recruitment)

Recruitment and selection procedures will normally set down minimum and maximum timescales for internal planning and preparation purposes and for candidates to have time to construct a comprehensive application.

− place the burden of proof on the employer to prove that the recruitment procedure was open and transparent

Yes

Potential candidates are free to challenge prospective employers on the fairness of the process through the tribunal system. Recruitment and Selection Policies and Procedures will set down guidelines for managers on the importance of recording decisions and the reasons upon which they are based.

− offer applicants the right to receive adequate feedback

Yes

The Recruitment and Selection Policies and Procedures will offer applicants the right to receive adequate feedback.

− offer applicants the right to appeal

No, not usually

Except formally through the courts if discrimination is alleged.

Source: Deloitte

EURAXESS Services Network

In 2011, the number of researcher posts advertised through the EURAXESS Jobs portal per thousand researchers in the public sector was 78 in the UK compared with 47 among the Innovation Union reference group and an EU average of 24. Information on entry conditions, transfer of social security and pension contributions, accommodation, administrative assistance etc. is available on the EURAXESS UK website. The UK is the top country in terms of jobs published on EURAXESS (264 posts available as of 7 October 2011).

5. Education and training

Measures to attract and train people to become researchers

The Government of the United Kingdom works to ensure that national policies to attract students to science are coherent throughout their educational careers and consider the needs of both students and employers.

The Department for Business, Innovation and Skills and the Department for Education (DfE) work closely on the students’ qualification agenda to ensure that the needs of the research market are met, the science curriculum is sufficiently challenging and attractive to young people, and that good enrichment and enhancement activities are part of science education in the UK.

---

8 See Figure 1 “Key indicators – United Kingdom”.

Deloitte.
The Government also funds the Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics network (STEMNET), a UK-wide organisation, whose purpose is to ensure that all young people, regardless of background, are encouraged to understand the importance of science. Over 25,000 Ambassadors in the wider UK territory act as role models for schoolchildren to attract them to opting for STEM disciplines.

The UK Government recognises the importance of increasing the number of doctorates graduated in STEM. The Government (2011) asked the Royal Academy of Engineering to develop a diversity programme for the engineering industry. The aim of the Academy’s Diversity Programme is to increase diversity and improve access to science, engineering and technology (SET) professions for candidates with low income backgrounds, disabled people, certain ethnic minorities and women who are all currently under-represented within engineering. Since 2011, the Academy has received government funding (from the Department for Business, Innovation and Skills) and works closely with the Royal Society which has been charged with a parallel role for the sciences.

Additional STEM activities and wider societal programmes are also funded from the Science and Research budget to promote STEM studies. Amongst others, activities include the work of the National Academies and their academic fellowships, Research Council UK’s PhD and Fellowship Awards as well as the Big Bang Fair and the National Science and Engineering Competition. Women are also encouraged to pursue a STEM career through the UKRC’s Women into Science, Engineering and Construction (WISE) campaign.

**Doctoral graduates by gender**

The table below shows the number of doctoral graduates by gender as a ratio of the total population in the United Kingdom.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>United Kingdom</th>
<th>EU average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New doctoral graduates (ISCED 6) per 1,000 population aged 25-34 (total) (2009)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Graduates (ISCED 6) per 1,000 of the female population aged 25-34 (2009)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Graduates (ISCED 6) per 1,000 of the male population aged 25-34 (2009)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Deloitte
Data: Eurostat

**Funding of doctoral candidates**

The table below presents the different funding opportunities for UK, EU and international doctoral students during the academic year 2009-10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major source of tuition fees</th>
<th>UK</th>
<th>% of starters</th>
<th>EU(^{1})</th>
<th>% of starters</th>
<th>International</th>
<th>% of starters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research Council</td>
<td>3,255</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charity/British Academy</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution</td>
<td>2,415</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1,205</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK industry</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overseas</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,645</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No financial backing</td>
<td>1,755</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1,995</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9,420</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2,550</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6,105</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


\(^{1}\)Excludes those from the UK.
Measures to increase the quality of doctoral training

All UK Research Councils are concentrating their funding for doctoral training on the basis of quality. This is a result of funding constraints and the policy objective of improving the quality of doctoral training in the UK and striving for excellence.

The following bodies have been established aimed at improving the quality of doctoral training.

Table 6: Measures to increase the quality of doctoral training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training Centres</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centres for Doctoral Training (CDT) and Doctoral Training Centres (DTC) (ongoing)</td>
<td>These are centres for managing the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) and the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) PhD-funded degrees in the UK (EPSRC has set up 54 since 2009 and ESRC 21 since 2011). Each DTC involves a UK university (or a small number of universities) in delivering a four-year doctoral training programme to PhD students organised into cohorts. Each CDT targets a specific area of research and also emphasises transferable skills training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Doctorate Centres (IDCs) (ongoing)</td>
<td>IDCs are subsets of the EPSRC’s Centres for Doctoral Training (CDTs). They are four-year programmes combining PhD-level research projects with taught courses where students spend about 75% of their time working directly with a company. Since 2011, five new IDCs in advanced manufacturing have been established and are linked to major existing research centres, such as the Advanced Manufacturing Research Centre/the new High Value Manufacturing Technology and Innovation Centre. The new centres will provide high level training in close collaboration with the world of industry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research Councils</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts and Humanities Research Council (AHRC) (ongoing)</td>
<td>The AHRC supports world-class research on human culture and creativity. Every year it offers around 700 new research awards and 1 500 postgraduate awards totaling over GBP 60 million.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) (ongoing)</td>
<td>The BBSRC offers various funding opportunities, including postgraduate studentships and fellowships, and research grants, as well as running schemes to enable international collaboration. For 2011-12, the BBSRC has allocated around GBP 445 million supporting around 1 600 scientists and 2 000 research students in universities and institutes across the UK.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) (ongoing)</td>
<td>The ESRC is the UK’s largest organisation for funding research on economic and social issues. It supports independent, high quality research which has an impact on business, the public sector and the third sector. Its total budget for 2011-12 is GBP 203 million supporting over 4 000 researchers and postgraduate students in academic institutions and independent research institutes. Examples of EPSRC DTCs are the Chemical Biology Doctoral Training Centre at Imperial College London, the DTC in Neuroinformatics and Computational Neuroscience at the University of Edinburgh, the Life Sciences Interface Doctoral Training Centre at the University of Oxford.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) (ongoing)</td>
<td>The EPSRC is the main UK government agency for funding research and training in engineering and the physical sciences, investing more than GBP 850 million a year in a broad range of subjects, from mathematics to materials science, and from information technology to structural engineering.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Research Council (MRC) (ongoing)</td>
<td>The MRC funds research through a range of grants, calls, highlight notices, studentships and fellowships and encourages collaboration with scientists based abroad. In 2010-11, MRC awarded 242 research grants, 97 fellowships and 276 studentships.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) (ongoing)</td>
<td>The NERC offers around 30 fellowships each year; it funds 1 100 postgraduate student and research grant schemes to provide financial support for environmental scientists to carry out research projects of international quality at UK universities and other approved institutions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) (ongoing)</td>
<td>The STFC offers grants and support in Particle Physics, Astronomy, Nuclear Physics and Facility Development. It also provides support for research infrastructure, training, knowledge exchange and public engagement activities through a variety of funding schemes and activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Deloitte
Skills agenda for researchers
The UK Government has a well-defined and long term skills agenda for researchers. UK Research Councils have allocated GBP 120 million to this since 2003.

In addition, the Vitae programme provides national leadership and strategic development, and works with higher education institutions, policy makers, stakeholders, employers and individual researchers. In 2010 Vitae launched the new Researcher Development Framework (RDF). This Framework is endorsed by thirty major UK organisations (e.g. Funding Councils, Research Councils, Quality Assurance Agency, the unions and Universities UK) who are involved in knowledge exchange and the development of a strategic agenda to train and support high level researchers to further improve their skills competencies. The RDF is currently being implemented in higher education institutions. Vitae have produced stakeholder briefings, a personal development planner for researchers (and guidance on how to map training exercises, courses and programmes to the Framework). Vitae also possesses an exhaustive database of 400 best practice training examples and 120 examples of evaluation activities. It also offers several materials and courses on entrepreneurship, knowledge exchange, leadership, public engagement, information literacy and teaching.

Higher education institutions in the UK can also develop their individual training and development programmes, covering a range of domains included in new the Researcher Development Framework.

6. Working conditions
Measures to improve researchers’ funding opportunities
UK government investment in research has doubled since 2001. According to “The Allocation of Science and Research Funding 2011/12 to 2014/15” BIS report10, GBP 4.6 billion per annum is allocated to science and research funding. Despite the enormous pressure on public spending, funding for science and research programmes has been protected in cash terms for the next four years (2011/12 to 2014/15).

Remuneration
Following the recommendation of the 2002 SET for Success report on aligning PhD stipends with graduate salaries, the Research Council and other funding organisations monitor their stipends to meet expectations, where possible. Since 2004, higher educational institutions have developed local framework agreements for the remuneration of their staff, including researchers. The remuneration level is based on a higher-education-specific job evaluation scheme. Most institutions have also put in place equal pay review processes to safeguard gender equality.

In March 2004 an agreement was reached between the employers and unions which agreed that all staff would be paid according to a national pay scale, and that academic and academic-related staff would be graded according to a national grading structure, which is supported by a library of national role profiles setting out the nature of the roles within each of the grades.

Researchers’ Statute
The Quality Assurance Agency (QAA) for Higher Education aims at “safeguarding standards and improving the quality of UK higher education”. The QAA ‘Code of practice for the assurance of academic quality and standards in higher education’ introduced in 2004 encompasses all rights and obligations for researchers (including selection and admission criteria, skills development, etc). In addition, the Concordat to Support the Career Development of Researchers (2008) constitutes an agreement between the employers (universities) and research funders (Research Councils, funding councils, major charities, etc.) on good management and quality working conditions for research staff.

‘European Charter for Researchers’ & ‘Code of Conduct for the Recruitment of Researchers’
The ‘European Charter and Code for Researchers’ is being implemented through both the Quality Assurance Agency and the Concordat to Support the Career Development of Researchers.

---

Vitae, the UK organisation championing researchers and research staff, leads in the implementation of the Concordat and assists UK higher institutions exchange knowledge and good practices. Vitae also supports them in gaining the European Commission’s HR Excellence in Research Award. So far, 38 higher education institutions have received the award. Implementation of the Concordat is reviewed annually by the Concordat Strategy Group, and a report is also submitted to the Funders Forum, which brings together governmental and non-governmental funders of public good research to consider the collective impact of their strategies on the sustainability, health and outputs on the Research Base.

**Autonomy of institutions**

In the UK, Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) and Public Sector Research Establishments (PSREs) enjoy high levels of autonomy in managing research careers, remuneration and mobility policies. Each institution in the UK is an autonomous employer and signs teaching only, research only, and teaching and research contracts. Both the QAA Code of Practice (for doctoral candidates) and the Concordat (for research staff) are key instruments related to institutions’ academic staff.

Differentiation of researchers’ salaries depends on their job evaluation and should be objectively justified by the needs of the research market (i.e. supported by evidence). At national level, the framework agreements allow for local implementation of a contribution- (performance-) related pay scheme for both research staff and researchers. However, only a few institutions have adopted this scheme.

**Career development**

In order to promote clear career development for researchers, most universities have included career provisions in their study schemes (for instance, specialist careers advice, courses, networking events, recruitment fairs, etc). In addition, Vitae has a dedicated web portal on researchers’ career prospects and employment destinations by discipline and occupation ([http://www.vitae.ac.uk](http://www.vitae.ac.uk)).

Tenure track systems are not applicable in the UK and all academic staff is employed within the human resources framework of each individual institution.

**Shift from core to project-based funding**

Public funding for research in UK higher education is administered under a ‘dual support’ system. Under this system the four Funding Bodies for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland provide both block grant and competitive funding for institutions to support the research infrastructure and enable ground-breaking research in keeping with their own mission. The Research Councils, charities, the European Union and government departments (including the devolved administrations for the nations mentioned above) provide grants for specific research and innovation projects and programmes.

**Social security benefits (sickness, unemployment, old-age)**

Provisions on sickness benefits for researchers depend on the context of the grant agreement. Contractual arrangements defined as ‘employment’ provide researchers with sickness payments and other benefits, including maternity leave, paternity leave, adoptive leave, extended jury service and holidays. Additional funding may be granted by the Research Council. Each pension scheme includes different provisions.

7. Collaboration between academia and industry

In the UK, there are many examples of partnerships between universities/research institutions and the business sector. The partnership could range from collaboration in co-design and co-delivery of postgraduate courses to co-funding, and joint supervision and mentoring of students.

The following table summarises programmes designed to boost collaboration between academia and industry, and to foster doctoral training in cooperation with industry.

**Table 7: Collaboration between academia and industry**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme/initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collaborative Awards in Science and Engineering (CASE), sponsored by</td>
<td>CASE studentships promote collaboration between the research community and the end-users of research. The award allows a PhD student to spend 3-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programme/initiative</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) (ongoing)</td>
<td>months with an industrial partner in a workplace outside the academic environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation Vouchers for SMEs, sponsored by the European Regional Development Fund, Advantage West Midlands, the Economic and Social Research Council and the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (ongoing)</td>
<td>National SMEs have the opportunity to apply for a GBP 3 000 voucher to purchase academic support by employing researchers in the field of technology and innovation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge Transfer Partnerships (KTPs) sponsored by the European Regional Development Fund and the Technology Strategy Board (ongoing)</td>
<td>Recently qualified graduate students are employed by a business partner to support knowledge and expertise transfer via a strategic project launched together with the higher education or research institution.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Deloitte

8. Mobility and international attractiveness

In 2007, the percentage of doctoral candidates (ISCED 6) who were citizens of another EU-27 Member State was 15.4% in the UK compared to 8.5% among the Innovation Union reference group and an EU average of 7.3%. In the same year, the percentage of non-EU doctoral candidates as percentage of all doctoral candidates was 35.9% in the UK compared to 14.5% among the Innovation Union reference group and an EU average of 19.4%.

Measures aimed at attracting and retaining ‘leading’ national, EU and third country researchers

See section on “Inward mobility (funding)” below.

Inward mobility (funding)

The UK opted out of the Scientific Visa Directive.

The UK National Action Plan on researcher mobility and careers within the European Research Area (2009) states that “the UK research base is already one of the most open in the world both as regards recruitment of researchers and scientific collaborations (over 40% of UK scientific papers now have one or more non-UK co-authors)” and “the UK Government funds a number of dedicated fellowship schemes (Dorothy Hodgkin Fellowships, Newton International Fellowships,) which seek to attract the best early career researchers from around the world to UK institutions”.

A survey of fellows’ nationalities from an internal RCUK report suggests that, depending upon the discipline, between 20% and 50% of all Research Council fellows are from outside the UK. The table below summarises key measures in support of researchers’ inward mobility.

Table 8: Measures supporting researchers’ inward mobility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme/initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Hodgkin Fellowships (ongoing)</td>
<td>The Dorothy Hodgkin Fellowships scheme targets excellent scientists in the UK at an early stage of their career who require a flexible working pattern due to personal circumstances, such as parenting or caring responsibilities, or health issues. Female candidates are particularly invited to apply.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newton International Fellowships (ongoing)</td>
<td>The Newton International Fellowship scheme targets the very best early stage post-doctoral researchers from all over the world, and offers support for two years at UK research institutions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Research Councils (ongoing)</td>
<td>See chapter 5 “Education and training”.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Deloitte

---

11 See Figure 1 “Key indicators – United Kingdom”.
12 Ibid.
The majority of the fellowships are open to UK and overseas candidates regardless of nationality, and are assessed in competition with each other.

**Outbound mobility**
Many Research Council fellowships have a strong international element as international collaboration is actively encouraged as part of the process of building an international reputation. Many awards include the option of undertaking research training outside the UK. The table below presents measures aimed at encouraging researchers to spend some time in another country.

**Table 9: Measures supporting researchers’ outbound mobility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>British Council (ongoing)</td>
<td>The British Council runs bilateral exchange programmes with Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Poland and Portugal. It also encourages scientific collaboration and mobility of early-career researchers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellcome Trust (ongoing)</td>
<td>The Wellcome Trust is a UK research funder supporting outstanding researchers, either medically qualified or science graduates, in establishing a research career in an academic institution in Croatia, the Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Poland, the Slovak Republic and Slovenia. It also funds collaboration between researchers in countries with developed market economies and those in the UK or the Republic of Ireland. Furthermore, researchers who have a track record of Wellcome Trust funding as a principal investigator, co-investigator or fellow may apply directly for support without needing a UK-based collaborator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Research Council fellowships (ongoing)</td>
<td>See chapter 5 “Education and training”.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Deloitte

**Promotion of ‘dual careers’**
The Dual Career issue affects all professions, including researchers, and mainly those early in their career on short term contracts. UK institutions act independently and provide the accompanying partner with support with networking and applying for openly advertised jobs.

**Portability of national grants**
Researchers of all nationalities, who have been appointed to an eligible research post at a UK University, can apply for a Research Council grant. Individual UK Research Councils have signed the EUROHORCS ‘Money follows researcher’ letter of intent, which allows them to create bilateral arrangements with foreign universities within Europe and beyond, and accept grant portability with homologous research funding bodies.

**Access to cross-border grants**
The majority of the fellowships are open to UK and overseas candidates regardless of their nationality and they are assessed in competition with each other.

**Measures encouraging inter-sectoral mobility**
The UK Research Councils Delivery Plan\(^\text{14}\) has as a national target the exchange of skills in the research base and encouraging movement of highly skilled people between the research base and user communities at all career stages. In addition, the Government White paper Students at the Heart of the System\(^\text{15}\) focuses on fostering collaboration between universities and the business sector in two ways:

i. optimising high level skills provision for wealth-creating businesses, and

ii. exploitation of the university sector’s capabilities in university-business collaborative research and innovation.

---


\(^\text{15}\) Source: [http://c561635.r35.cf2.rackcdn.com/11-944-WP-students-at-heart.pdf](http://c561635.r35.cf2.rackcdn.com/11-944-WP-students-at-heart.pdf)